

Frances MacVey Comments about Legislative Auditor's Recommendations on 2019 Tax Preferences
Aerospace Tax Preferences (Business & Occupation Tax, Sales and Use Tax, Leasehold Excise Tax, Property Tax)

There absolutely and positively needs to be more clarification! Duh!

If 'the industry' can do no better than to let things continue without being held more accountable, and without having processes more closely examined, then it seems to me that that just means they don't really know themselves how things are being managed. That's just lazy. Also, since, according to this committee, there appear to be significant problems with training, transportation, and education, it sounds to me as if the whole process needs to have a hold put on it so the whole process can be reconsidered and, if necessary, be revamped.

Aircraft Part Prototypes (Sales & Use Tax)

On this surface, this seems to be imminently reasonable.

Commercial Airplane Parts: Place of Sale (Business & Occupation Tax)

I agree wholeheartedly.

All processes absolutely need to be periodically reviewed to ensure adherence to the espoused goals. Nothing is carved in stone and everything needs to be constantly re-evaluated. This is just a basic truism.

Commuter Air Carrier Airplanes (Property Tax)

Yes.

This is good logic, and logic is always good.

Commuter Air Carrier Airplanes (Sales and Use Tax)

Yes.

Again, this is just good logic.

Financial Institutions' Income from Certain Airplane Loans (Business and Occupation Tax)

Yes.

"Permanent" is just another way of saying "I can't be bothered to make sure things are running as intended".

Property Tax Exemption for Multifamily Housing in Urban Areas (Property Tax)

Hmmm. I'm not too sure on this. Why are builders getting breaks?

How is this helping the people who will live in these units? THAT should be the first questions!